

The De Anza Academic Senate

Approved Notes of the meeting of November 21st, 2011

Senators and Officers present: Botsford, Bram, Castaño, Chenoweth, Chow, Freeman, Glapion, Hanna, Khanna, Kryliouk, Larson, Lee-Wheat, Leonard, Maynard, Mitchell, Quintero, Schaffer, Setziol, Singh, Stockwell, Swanner, Truong, Yang, and Vonmatt

Senators and Officers Absent: Cruz, E. Lee, Nguyen, and Sullivan,

DASB: Classified Senate:

Administrative Liaison: Guests: Emily Kinner, Becky Roberts, Mallory Newell, and Kulwant Singh

SLO coordinators/Staff Development: Jackie Reza

Curriculum Co Chair:

[NOTE: Item numbers are reflective of agenda numbers in the order they are actually taken up at the meeting.]

The meeting was called to order at 2:34, a quorum being present.

I. Approval of Notes and Agenda: The agenda was approved as distributed with a change of the title and subject of item VI from Presentation of SSPBT Budget reduction Proposals to IPBT Presentations and Timelines. The draft notes of November 14th were approved as distributed.

II. Needs and Confirmations: There is still an opening on the District Educational Technology Advisory Committee (ETAC). There is also an opening on an ETAC subcommittee, the Technology Standards Committee. At the end of the item, a question arose as to when the initial item VI presentation would be made. The answer was November 28th.

III. President and Vice President's Reports: Chow reported that the officers had received concern expressed by Film/TV department chair Zaki Lisha about repeatability and that she had received a message from David Morse of the ASCCC to the effect that the ASCCC and the repeatability taskforce had completed its work on repeatability after incorporating ASCCC Fall Plenary Session resolutions into its final report. It appears that further comment should go directly to the State CCCs Chancellor's Office and Vice Chancellor Barry Russell. Next she reported that an open forum on the Student Success Taskforce recommendations would be held November 28th, from 11:45 to 1:15 in Admin 109. She reported that Student Trustee Emily Kinner was present at the taskforce hearing in Oakland and did a

great job in presenting concerns. Chow, Kinner, and Rich Hansen will be presenting on the 28th. In response to a question about changes made in the recommendations based on previous public comment, Chow reiterated the abandonment of the recommendation on categorical programs and that changes had been made to the sections on an alternative funding mechanism and about the BoG fee waiver.

IV. Request for funds for Partners in Learning Conference: Chow and Jackie Reza presented a request for \$500 from the Senate dues account to support the cost of food for the conference which annually attracts well over 100 participants. **It was MSCU (Hanna/Chenoweth)** to approve the request. During discussion Setziol suggested that the group slow down its approvals of substantial funding requests until the dues account budget report due in January. Mitchell opined that monthly budget updates would help the group keep an eye on spending trends.

V. Results of Survey of De Anza Graduates and Transfers: Mallory Newell made a presentation on a survey of roughly 1,000 De Anza graduates and transfers. Questions included topics of employment, numbers of respondents who had completed a degree, and other topics which might spark conversations about whether or not a certain certificate needed to be offered if only one or two people received one, on average, each year et cetera.

VI. IPBT Presentations and Timelines: The bulk of the meeting was taken up with a careful review of presentations made by Deans to the IPBT on behalf of the academic divisions they administer. Each division's revised reduction plan was reviewed and IPBT members were encouraged to comment on the IPBT reaction to those proposals. The Senators were asked to be prepared to report on dialogue about and responses to the IPBT recommendations distributed earlier in the day. A timeline of the remainder of the reduction plan activities and an explanation of the scope of recommendations was presented by Lee-Wheat. She emphasized the opportunities for and the importance of faculty participation to make sure that the appropriate expertise was responding to the recommendations. It was noteworthy that there were no protestations of any lack of opportunity for faculty expertise to be brought to bear in the process to this point. The officers among others have cleared their calendars for the afternoon of December 2nd in case program discontinuance appears to be a possible result of the process.

VII. Good of the Order: There were none.

The meeting was adjourned at 4: 32